

B-PROP: Bootstrapped Pre-training with Representative words Prediction

Xinyu Ma, Jiafeng Guo, Ruqing Zhang, Yixing Fan, Yingyan Li and Xueqi Cheng https://arxiv.org/pdf/2104.09791.pdf

1. CAS Key Lab of Network Data Science and Technology, Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

2. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences





New Paradigm of NLP

- Pre-training and then fine-tuning paradigm
- Significant benefit for tasks with limited training data





NLP Tasks

Machine Translation

Sentiment Analysis

Question Answering

Dialogue & Chatbot

Textual Entailment

Paraphrasing

Semantic Parsing

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

BERT for IR

• Explore BERT in the context of ad-hoc document ranking (reranking)



Pre-trained models do benefit the search tasks, but sometimes the improvement is limited

Deeper text understanding for IR with contextual neural language modeling, SIGIR 2019 Modeling diverse relevance patterns in ad-hoc retrieval, SIGIR 2018

Pretraining Method tailored for IR

- Pre-training for Passage Retrieval in QA: ICT, BFS, WLP (Chang et.al, 2019)
- the downstream task could lead to better fine-tuning performance
- - inspired by the query likelihood (QL) model

MS MARCO Document Ranking Leaderboard									
					Search:				
냐 냐 date	description	î team	lt lt lt paper code	¦1 type	MRR@100. (Dev)	MRR@100↓↑ (Eval)			
2021/05/24 🏆	ANCE MaxP + LongP / SEED-Encoder+LongP (ensemble)	Soonhwan Kwon, Minyoung Lee, Samsung SDS AI Research		full ranking	0.487	0.427			
2021/04/25 🦞	PROP_step400K base + doc2query top1000(ensemble v0.2)	Yingyan Li, Xinyu Ma, Jiafeng Guo, Ruqing Zhang, Yixing Fan, Xueqi Ch - ICT_CAS	eng [paper]	full ranking	0.479	0.423			
2021/04/28	Knowledge Retrieval	HuaweiPoissonLab, RUCIR		full ranking	0.482	0.423			
2021/05/10	Knowledge Retrieval	HuaweiPoissonLab, RUCIR		full ranking	0.484	0.423			
2021/05/26	Thinking Reranker (single)	Tongyuan - KCAI-Lab		full ranking	0.485	0.422			
2021/04/27	ANCE BS+GL	Jiajia Ding*, Chunyu Li* - PingAn		full ranking	0.489	0.421			

Pre-training Tasks for Embedding-based Large-scale Retrieval, ICLR 2019 PROP: Pre-training with Representative Words Prediction for Ad-hoc Retrieval, WSDM'2021

• The underlying belief : using a pre-training objective that more closely resembles

• SOTA in ad-hoc retrieval: Pre-training with Representative wOrds Prediction (PROP) • Key idea: construct the *representative words prediction (ROP)* task for pre-training

PROP

- Inspired by the "Old" Hypothesis in IR
- A hypothesis underlying the Query Likelihood model
 - satisfy his/her information need
 - The query is **generated** as the piece of text representative of the "ideal" document



Rank documents based on the probability that they "generate" the query $score(Q, D) = P(Q|\theta_D) \qquad \text{Document language model} \\ = \prod_{w \in V} P(w|\theta_D)^{c(w,Q)} \qquad \text{Multinomial uni}$

A language modeling approach to information retrieval, SIGIR 1998

• The user has a reasonable idea of the terms that are likely to appear in the "ideal" document that can

Multinomial unigram language model



The ROP Pre-training Task for Ad-hoc Retrieval

- - - Sample words: $S_1 = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_x), w_i \sim P(w_i | \theta_D)$
 - **Preference Learning**: The word set with higher likelihood is deemed as more $(\mathbf{2})$ "representative" of the document
 - Compute likelihood: $P(S_1|\theta_D) = \prod_{w \in V} P(w|\theta_D)^{c(w,Q)}$

Information retrieval (IR) is the process of obtaining information system resources that are relevant to an information need from a collection of those resources. Searches can be based on full-text or other contentbased indexing. Information retrieval is the science of searching for information in a document, searching for documents themselves, and also searching for the metadata that describes data, and for databases of texts, images or sounds. Automated information retrieval systems are used to reduce what has been called information

Document

PROP: Pre-training with Representative Words Prediction for Ad-hoc Retrieval, WSDM'2021

• **Representative words prediction (ROP)** task: Pre-train the Transformer model to predict the pairwise preference between word sets with respect to their representativeness to the document (1) **Paired Sampling**: Sample pairs of word sets according to *document language model*

Unigram language model with Dirichlet prior smoothing

Pre-trained LM

IR	<u>searchers</u>	>	used to	reduce

a collection systems

Representativeness Preference

PROP: Pre-training with Representative wOrds Prediction

- Pre-train the Transformer towards the following two objectives
 - The ROP objective

 - Sample a pair of word sets, suppose set S_1 has a higher likelihood score than S_2 • Pairwise Loss: $\mathcal{L}_{ROP} = \max(0, 1 - P(S_1|D) + P(S_2|D))$
 - The MLM objective
 - Masks out some tokens from the input
 - Cross-entropy Loss: $\mathcal{L}_{MLM} = -\sum_{\hat{x} \in X} \log p(\hat{x} | X_{\setminus \hat{x}})$



Back to Sampling Process

- But the sampling process of PROP is according to a unigram language model θ_D
 - Term independent assumption: $\theta_D = \prod_{i=1}^{|D|} P(w_i) = P(w_1)P(w_2)P(w_3) \dots$

pulmonary fibrosis synonyms interstitial pulmonary fibrosis a chest x-ray demonstrating pulmonary fibrosis believed to be due to amiodarone. specialty pulmonology pulmonary fibrosis (literally ""scarring of the lungs "") is a respiratory disease in which scars are formed in the lung tissues, leading to serious breathing problems. scar formation, the accumulation of excess fibrous connective tissue (the process called fibrosis), leads to thickening of the walls, and causes reduced oxygen supply in the blood. as a consequence patients suffer from perpetual shortness of breath. [1]in some patients the...

Unigram Language Model: fibrosis, uip, interstitial, idiopathic, pulmonary, fibrous, inspiratory, auscultation, pulmonology, amiodarone, crackl,

Can we leverage a better document language model for higher quality of representative words sampling?

PROP: Pre-training with Representative Words Prediction for Ad-hoc Retrieval, WSDM'2021



• The success of PROP heavily relies on the quality of the sampled "representative" words • Difficult to fully capture the document semantic by **ignoring the correlation between words**

• Tend to favor rare words in a document which may not be representative to the document semantic









Contextual Language Model: BERT

- Contextual language encoding
 - Each token in BERT accumulates the information from both left and right context to enrich its representation
- Success in language semantic tasks (sentence, sentence pair, document)
 - Semantic textual similarity: STS, MRPC
 - Text classification: AGNews, DBpedia
 - Document sentiment: IMDB, Yelp

BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding, NAACL'2019







- An intuitive solution
 - The special classification token [CLS] is an aggregate of the entire sequence representation
 - [CLS]-Token attention indicates how much meaningful information a particular token contributes to the entire sequence
- Directly sample representative words according to BERT's [CLS]-Token attention
 - Summing up and re-normalizing the vanilla attention weights over distinct terms

• Key idea: Leverage BERT to replace the classical unigram language model for the ROP task construction, and re-train BERT itself towards the tailored objective for IR



ROP construction with BERT

words, but also favor common words

pulmonary fibrosis synonyms interstitial pulmonary fibrosis a chest x-ray demonstrating pulmonary fibrosis believed to be due to amiodarone. specialty pulmonology pulmonary fibrosis (literally ""scarring of the lungs "") is a respiratory disease in which scars are formed in the lung tissues, leading to serious breathing problems. scar formation, the accumulation of excess fibrous connective tissue (the process called fibrosis), leads to thickening of the walls, and causes reduced oxygen supply in the blood. as a consequence patients suffer from perpetual shortness of breath. [1] in some patients the specific cause of the disease can be diagnosed, but in others the probable cause cannot be determined, a condition called idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. there is ...

- The underlying reason
 - BERT focuses on encoding as much semantic information in a document as possible
 - The term distribution obtained from its vanilla attention is a **semantic distribution**, but **not** \bullet necessarily a representative/informative distribution



• We found that the Vanilla [CLS]-Token attention-based term distribution can generate representative





Divergence from Randomness

• The informativeness/representativeness of a term could be computed by measuring the divergence between a term distribution produced by a random process and the actual term distribution in a document (Amati and Rijsbergen, 2002)

Actual Term Distribution $P(w|\theta_d)$

Random Term Distribution $P(w|\theta_{random})$







- We introduce a novel **contrastive** method to leverage BERT's attention mechanism to sample representative words from a document
- Contrastive Term Distribution:
 - Compute the **cross-entropy (i.e., the divergence**) between the document term distribution $P(w_k|\theta_d)$ and the random term distribution $P(w_k|\theta_{random})$ $\gamma_{w_{k}} = CE(\theta_{d}|\theta_{random}) = -P(w_{k}|\theta_{d})log_{2}P(w_{k}|\theta_{random}))$ $\exp(\gamma_{w_k})$

 $P(w_k | \theta_{contrastive})$



$$(ve) = \frac{\pi}{\sum_{w_k \in V} \exp(\gamma_{w_k})}$$



- **Document Term Distribution** \bullet

$$a^{t} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{t}, \quad \text{where } a_{i}^{t} = softmax(\frac{Q_{i}^{[CLS]} * K_{i}^{x_{t}}}{\sqrt{d/h}})$$
$$\beta_{w_{k}} = \sum_{x_{t}=w_{k}} a^{t}, x_{t} \in d, \text{ i. e. , word } x \text{ in postion } t$$
cmalize the vanilla [CLS]-Token attention weights ov

Saturate and re-normalize the vanilla [CLS]-Token attention weights over distinct terms \bullet

Document: pulmonary, fibrosis, synonyms, interstitial,



• Average multi-head attention weight, and sum up the weight of the same term over different positions

 $P(w_k|\theta_d) = softmax\left(\frac{\beta_{w_k}}{b+\beta_{w_k}}\right)$, where *b* is a hypeparameter 1.0



The term saturation function is used to alleviate that the document is dominated by terms with large attention weights







- **Random Term Distribution**: \bullet
 - Take an expectation over all the term distributions in the document collection

 $P(w_k | \theta_{random}) = \mathbb{E}(w)$



$$v_k|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} = \sum_{d\in\mathcal{D}} P(w_k|\theta_d)$$

Expectation

 $P(w|\theta_{random})$





pulmonary fibrosis synonyms interstitial pulmonary fibrosis a chest x-ray demonstrating pulmonary fibrosis believed to be due to amiodarone. specialty pulmonology pulmonary fibrosis (literally ""scarring of the lungs "") is a respiratory disease in which scars are formed in the lung tissues, leading to serious breathing problems. scar formation, the accumulation of excess fibrous connective tissue (the process called fibrosis), leads to thickening of the walls, and causes reduced oxygen supply in the blood. as a consequence patients suffer from perpetual shortness of breath. [1] in some patients the specific cause of the disease can be diagnosed, but in others the probable cause cannot be determined, a condition called idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. there is ... Unigram Language Model: fibrosis, uip, interstitial, idiopathic, p Vanilla Attention-based Term Distribution: pulmonary, fil **Contrastive Term Distribution:** fibrosis, pulmonary, inter

- - By eliminating the impact of the common words, i.e., stop words, using the contrastive method
- representativeness
 - (lung, chest,...) **vs.** (inspiratory, auscultation,...)

pulmonary,	fibrous,	inspirato	ry, aus	cultation	, pulmo	onology,	amiodarc	one,	crackl,	
orosis , <mark>in</mark> ,	interstit	t <mark>ial</mark> , the,	of,	lisease ,	can, ai	nd, lung	g, chest,	is,	cause,	to,
rstitial, id	iopathic	, lung, o	chest,	disease	, disea	ses, cau	se, patie	nts,	x-ray,	scars

• Contrastive term distribution can now obtain representative words for a document

• Contrastive term distribution is better than unigram language model in terms of





B-PROP Learning Objective

- **Re-train BERT** towards the tailored objective for IR $\mathcal{L}_{total} = \mathcal{L}_{ROP} + \mathcal{L}_{MLM}$
- **ROP**: pairwise preference prediction objective
 - Sample a pair of word sets, suppose set S_1 has a higher likelihood score than S_2
 - Pairwise Loss: $\mathcal{L}_{ROP} = \max(0, 1 P(S_1|D) + P(S_2|D))$
- MLM: masked tokens prediction objective
 - Masks out some tokens from the input
 - Cross-entropy Loss: $\mathcal{L}_{MLM} = -\sum_{\hat{x} \in X} \log p(\hat{x} | X_{\setminus \hat{x}})$



19

Experiment Setting

- Pretraining datasets:
 - Wikipedia, over 10 million documents
 - MS MARCO, about 3.4 million documents
- 5 downstream ad-hoc retrieval tasks:
 - 5 small datasets: Robust04, ClueWeb09-B, Gov2, MQ2007, MQ2008
 - 2 large-scale datasets: MS MARCO Document ranking and TREC DL Document ranking
- Baseline models:
 - Traditional retrieval models: BM25, QL
 - Neural-IR models: DRMM, Conv-KNRM
 - Other pretraining method: PROP, BERT, Transformer_{ICT}

Dataset	#genre	#queries	#docs
Robust04	News	250	0.5M
ClueWeb09-B	web pages	150	50M
Gov2	.gov pages	150	25M
MQ2007	.gov pages	1692	25M
MQ2008	.gov pages	784	25M
MS MARCO	web pages	0.37M	3.2M
TREC DL	web pages	0.37M	3.2M



Main Results on Small datasets

improvements of B-PROP to the best baseline PROP are statistically significant (* indicates $p \le 0.05$).

Model Type	Model Name	Robust04 ClueWeb09-B			Gov2		MQ2007		MQ2008		
Model Type	Model Maille	nDCG@20	P@20	nDCG@20	P@20	nDCG@20	P@20	nDCG@10	P@10	nDCG@10	P@10
Traditional	QL	0.413	0.367	0.225	0.326	0.409	0.510	0.423	0.371	0.223	0.241
Retrieval Models	BM25	0.412	0.363	0.230	0.334	0.421	0.523	0.414	0.366	0.220	0.245
Neural IR Models	DRMM	0.425	0.371	0.246	0.349	0.457	0.545	0.441	0.382	0.221	0.248
	Conv-KNRM	0.414	0.360	0.238	0.336	0.462	0.552	0.431	0.377	0.215	0.239
	BERT	0.459	0.389	0.295	0.367	0.495	0.586	0.506	0.419	0.247	0.256
Pre-trained	Transformer _{ICT}	0.460	0.388	0.298	0.369	0.499	0.587	0.508	0.420	0.245	0.256
Models	PROP _{Wiki}	0.502	0.421	0.316	0.384	0.519	0.593	0.523	0.432	0.262	0.267
	PROP _{MARCO}	0.484	0.408	0.329	0.391	0.525	0.594	0.522	0.430	0.266	0.269
Our Approach	B-PROP _{Wiki}	0.519*	0.430*	0.331	0.393	0.534^{*}	0.599*	0.529*	0.436^{*}	0.271*	0.273
	B-PROPMARCO	0.510^{+}	0.429^{*}	0.353*	0.407^{*}	0.552^{*}	0.606*	0.529 *	0.439*	0.273^{*}	0.275^{*}

- Pre-training on a similar domain leads to better fine-tuning performance

Table 3: Performance Comparisons between B-PROP and the baselines on 5 small datasets. Two-tailed t-tests demonstrate the

• B-PROP perform better than PROP and BERT on small datasets by a large margin

Main Results on Large datasets

ments of B-PROP to the best baseline PROP are statistically significant (* indicates $p \le 0.05$).

		MS MARCO				TREC DL				
Model Type	Model Name	rerank		fullrank		rer	ank	fullrank		
		MRR@10	MRR@100	MRR@10	MRR@100	nDCG@10	nDCG@100	nDCG@10	nDCG@100	
Traditional Retrieval Models	QL	-	-	0.287	0.300	-	-	0.600	0.559	
	BM25	-	-	0.315	0.326	-	-	0.592	0.552	
Neural IR	DRMM	0.137	0.152	0.164	0.197	0.249	0.390	0.301	0.422	
Models	Conv-KNRM	0.155	0.179	0.183	0.225	0.311	0.476	0.360	0.456	
	BERT	0.391	0.397	0.410	0.418	0.642	0.519	0.657	0.567	
Pre-trained	$Transformer_{ICT}$	0.394	0.399	0.411	0.423	0.639	0.521	0.658	0.569	
Models	PROP _{Wiki}	0.401	0.405	0.419	0.427	0.654	0.533	0.662	0.572	
	PROP _{MARCO}	0.410	0.415	0.426	0.435	0.668	0.547	0.676	0.573	
Our Approach	B-PROP _{Wiki}	0.415^{*}	0.419^{*}	0.428	0.439^{*}	0.670	0.552^{*}	0.679	0.581^{*}	
Our Approach	B-PROPMARCO	0.419*	0.423*	0.437*	0.441*	0.675*	0.558*	0.694*	0.590*	

- B-PROP perform better than PROP and BERT on large datasets
- \bullet scale datasets is consistent

Table 4: Comparisons between B-PROP and the baselines on 2 large-scale datasets. Two-tailed t-tests demonstrate the improve-

The performance trend of B–PROP_{Wiki} and B–PROP_{MARCO} on small datasets and large-

Zero- and Low-Resource Setting

- **Black dashed line: BM25** \bullet
- **Green dashed line: BERT**
- **Orange dashed line: Fully fine-tuned BERT**



- tuned on full supervised data
- Under the zero-resource setting, B-PROP could outperform PROP on all the datasets ullet

Blue solid line: PROP Red solid curve: B-PROP

• B-PROP outperforms PROP significantly on all the datasets using the same number of limited supervised data • B-PROP fine-tuned on limited supervised data can achieve comparable/better performance against BERT fine-

Conclusion

- unigram language model for the ROP task construction.
- push forward the SOTA on a variety of ad-hoc retrieval tasks.

• B-PROP leverages the powerful contextual language model BERT to replace the

• We introduced a contrastive method to obtain the representativeness distribution.

• B-PROP can achieve significant improvements over PROP and BERT, and further

Code and the pre-training models are released at: https://github.com/Albert-Ma/PROP

An awesome paper list about pretraining for IR : https://github.com/Albert-Ma/awesome-pretrained-models-forinformation-retrieval

Thanks!

Xinyu Ma **maxinyu17g@ict.ac.cn**